1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE	
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION	
3			
4	21 South Fru	<b>2021</b> - 1:40 p.m. it Street	
5	Suite 10 Concord, NH		
6	Ги	opring also conducted with Woberl	
7	[ 110	earing also conducted via Webex]	
8	RE:	DW 20-112	
9		ABENAKI WATER COMPANY, INC.: Request for Change in Rates. (Hearing regarding rate base)	
L 0	DDECENE.		
L1	PRESENT:	Chairwoman Dianne H. Martin, Presiding Commissioner Daniel C. Goldner	
L2		Doreen Borden, Clerk Corrine Lemay, PUC Hybrid Hearing Host	
L3			
L 4	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Abenaki Water Company: Marcia A. Brown, Esq. (NH Brown Law)	
L5 L6		Reptg. Village Shore Estates: Cristy Bresson	
L 7		Reptg. Tioga-Belmont: Jeffrey Phillips	
L 8		Sharon Burgess, pro se	
L 9		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers:	
20		Donald M. Kreis, Esq., Consumer Adv. Julianne Desmet, Esq.	
21		Office of Consumer Advocate	
22		Reptg. New Hampshire Dept. of Energy: Christopher Tuomala, Esq.	
23		(Regulatory Support Division)	
24	Court Rep	orter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	

1					
2	INDEX				
3	PAGE NO.				
4	PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY:				
5	Mr. Kreis 8				
6	Mr. Tuomala 12 Ms. Brown 13				
7	Chairwoman Martin 17				
8	QUESTIONS BY: Chairwoman Martin 10				
9	* * *				
10	WITNESS PANEL: NICHOLAS LaCHANCE ROBERT GALLO				
11	STEPHEN P. ST. CYR				
12	Direct examination by Ms. Brown 18 Cross-examination by Mr. Tuomala 32				
13	Interrogatories by Commissioner Goldner 34 Redirect examination by Ms. Brown 57				
14	* * *				
15	DISCUSSION RE: RECORD REQUESTS 58				
16	STATEMENTS REGARDING ADMISSION OF EXHIBITS BY:				
17	Mr. Kreis 62				
18	Mr. Tuomala 63 Ms. Brown 64				
19	Ms. Bresson 65 Mr. Phillips 65				
20	QUESTIONS BY: Chairwoman Martin 63				
21	CLOSING ARGUMENTS BY:				
22	Mr. Phillips 66				
23	Mr. Kreis 66 Mr. Tuomala 67				
24	Ms. Brown 68				
∠ 4					

1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT NO	. DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
4	4		premarked
5		from Discovery [Marked for ID only]	
6	5	Question 1-8 with Response	premarked
7		from Discovery [Marked for ID only]	
8	6	Recent Letter from Abenaki to Village Shore Estates	premarked
9		[Marked for ID only]	
10	7	Published Article from Mr. Morrissey provided in	premarked
11		an email dated 10-21-21 [Marked for ID only]	
12	8	Recent Letter from Eric	premarked
13	O	Messier of Abenaki Water Co. to Tioga-Belmont (09-27-2021)	premarked
14		[Marked for ID only]	
15	9	TB Letter: Peter Spain's copy of a letter we all	premarked
16		received in 2000 (09-12-2000) [Marked for ID only]	
17	10	Copy of TB Deed	premarked
18	10	[Marked for ID only]	premarked
19	11	Tioga Non-Revenue Water Graph [Marked for ID only]	premarked
20	12	White Rock Non-Revenue Water	premarked
21	14	Graph [Marked for ID only]	premarkeu
22	20	Abenaki Response to Comm. Request 1-1 [Redacted]	premarked
23		[Marked for ID only]	
24			

1			
2		EVUTDIMC/acational	
		EXHIBITS (continued)	
3	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
4	21	Abenaki Response to Comm. Request 1-1 {CONFIDENTIAL}	premarked
5		[Marked for ID only]	
6	22	RESERVED FOR RECORD REQUEST	62
7		(To validate the purchase price for each entity on	
8		Bates Page 008 in Exhibit 21) [Marked for ID only]	
9	23	RESERVED FOR RECORD REQUEST (Under "Account 301	62
10		Organization" on Bates Page 015	
11		in Exhibit 21, describe what is inside that account)	<b>3</b>
12		[Marked for ID only]	
13	2 4	RESERVED FOR RECORD REQUEST (Under "Account 303 Land &	62
14		Land Rights" on Bates Page 015 in Exhibit 21, describe what is	3
15		inside that account) [Marked for ID only]	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

### PROCEEDING

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: We're here this afternoon in Docket DW 20-112 for a hearing to consider evidence regarding the Abenaki rate base, including the more detailed information that Abenaki submitted regarding its four water systems.

Let's start by taking appearances, starting with Ms. Brown.

MS. BROWN: Good afternoon,

Commissioners. My name is Marcia Brown, with NH

Brown Law. And I am representing Abenaki Water

Company. And with me virtually today is Nick

LaChance, who is Vice President of Abenaki, and

Nick LaChance appears on the video screen to the

right of the "Nick LaChance" square; and also

present today is Bob Gallo, who is President of

Abenaki, and Bob is to the left of Nick; also

with me today is Stephen St. Cyr, of Stephen P.

St. Cyr & Associates, and he's designated by his

name on his video.

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Thank you. And Mr. Kreis.

```
1
                   MR. KREIS: Good afternoon. I am
 2.
         Donald Kreis, the Consumer Advocate, here on
 3
         behalf of residential customers of this utility.
         And with me today is our new Staff Attorney,
 4
 5
         Julianne Desmet.
 6
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Thank
 7
         you. And for Village Shore Estates, can you
 8
         identify yourself? Ms. Bresson?
 9
                   MS. BRESSON:
                                  Can you hear me?
10
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I can hear you now.
11
         Go ahead.
                   MS. BRESSON: I'm sorry. This is
12
1.3
         Cristy Bresson, for Village Shore Estates, Bow.
14
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
15
         And for Tioga-Belmont?
16
                   MR. PHILLIPS: This is Jeffrey Phillips
17
         here for Tioga-Belmont.
18
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Thank
19
         you. And for the Department of Energy?
20
                   MR. TUOMALA: Good afternoon,
2.1
         Commissioners. Christopher Tuomala, representing
2.2
         New Hampshire Department of Energy.
23
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Good afternoon.
24
         All right. For exhibits, we have them slightly
```

```
1
         out of order, but what I have is Exhibits 4
 2.
         through 12 and 20 and 21 prefiled and premarked
         for identification.
 3
 4
                    Ms. Brown, is that what you have?
 5
                    MS. BROWN: I have 4 through 11, and I
 6
         guess I've missed 12.
 7
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I believe that was
 8
         filed by Ms. Speck. Ms. Bresson, can you speak
         to that?
 9
10
                    MS. BRESSON: Yes.
                                        There was, I
11
         believe, 4 through 11 was filed, and then
12
         subsequent to that there was one additional
1.3
         document filed, that must be 12. I apologize, I
14
         don't have a screen in front of me, but I believe
         that is correct.
15
16
                    MS. BROWN: I found it. I'm caught up
17
               Thank you very much.
         now.
18
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I have it
19
         identified as "White Rock Non-Revenue Water
20
         Graph".
2.1
                    Okay. Any other exhibits?
2.2
                    [No verbal response.]
23
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Hearing
24
         none.
```

1 Anything else we need to cover before 2. we hear from the witnesses? 3 MR. KREIS: Yes. 4 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Go ahead. 5 MR. KREIS: Madam Chairwoman, 6 Commissioner Goldner, the Office of the Consumer 7 Advocate continues to object to today's hearing for the reasons stated in the letter that I filed in this docket on November 2nd. 9 Briefly stated, I believe that the 10 11 Administrative Procedure Act does not 12 contemplate, and likely does not permit, hearings such as this one that the Commission inserts into 1.3 14 the middle of a rate case. And I believe that 15 holding a hearing, such as this today, has the 16 effect of materially disadvantaging and

holding a hearing, such as this today, has the effect of materially disadvantaging and prejudicing parties other than the utility, which, in effect, gets two bites at the apple with respect to meeting its burden concerning the prudence of its investments, as will ultimately be reviewed, should this rate case ever proceed to a final order.

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

I believe that there are also a series of uncertainties and confusions that are

presented by conducting a hearing today. I have no idea who has the burden of proof. I have no idea what, if any, effect anything that happens at today's hearing will ultimately have; whether it precludes the raising or addressing of any issues at the hearing that is ultimately had at the end of this case; and I don't know whether, by making or failing to make any objections or raise any issues, I'm waiving anything in a manner that might foreclose those issues being raised or dealt with at a later stage.

2.

1.3

2.2

And, finally, I guess I would say that it has been suggested to me that "holding a hearing like this in the middle of a rate case is perfectly fine, because it has no real effect."

And I will say, on behalf of the residential customers whose interests I represent, that's the most troublesome prospect of all, because ultimately the costs of rate cases like this are passed along to the customers of this utility.

And I want to put the Company on notice that, if the Company seeks to recover the costs of today's hearing, I will object to the recovery of such costs, because today's hearing really has

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

no place in the Administrative Procedure Act, and it is not fair to require the customers of the utility to pay for them.

Now, I understand, as I said in my letter, that there are good and valid reasons why the Commission wants to hold hearings in the middle of this and other rate cases. And, as I said in my letter, the appropriate way to deal with that, under existing law, is for the Commission to hold more than one prehearing conference. It's perfectly okay for the Commission to "check in" on the parties in the middle of this, or any other case, to find out how it's going. And I'd be a cheerful participant in a proceeding such as that.

But, for the reasons I've already stated, I object to today's hearing, and want to preserve any arguments that I need to make in the future, depending on the outcome of today's hearing, and any that might follow.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Before we hear from any other counsel, I would like to respond.

So, is it your position then that the

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

Commission itself cannot decide when to schedule a hearing to take evidence on a matter before it?

MR. KREIS: My position is that the Commission has to follow the Administrative Procedure Act. And, as long as it's doing that, it can create whatever procedural schedules it finds convenient.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And, so, therefore, does it follow that you believe the Administrative Procedure Act prohibits the Commission from scheduling a hearing such as this?

MR. KREIS: Yes. Although, to be candid, I have to concede that there is not chapter and verse that I can cite to you that says to the Commission "No, you may not do this."

My position is, basically, that this is so far beyond the pale that the drafters of the Administrative Procedure Act simply assumed that contested administrative proceedings would operate pretty much the way a civil proceeding operates in court, which is to say there are pretrial — there are pretrial proceedings that occur, then there's a period of discovery, and

motions, and then, ultimately, there's a trial or a hearing at the end.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Well, do you disagree, though, that there are often hearings held on specific evidentiary issues or matters that are also before the court that is not done all at one time in every case?

MR. KREIS: I really wouldn't know that, because I don't practice -- I don't have the kind of practice that calls for me to participate in similar proceedings.

And I do acknowledge that the PUC obviously conducts hearings almost in every rate case in the middle of the rate case, if you want to call it that, when the question is temporary rates. I'm not objecting to anybody holding a hearing when it comes to temporary rates. The temporary rate statute authorizes that pretty explicitly.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We'll hear from other counsel. Attorney Tuomala, would you like to be heard?

MR. TUOMALA: Thank you, Madam

Chairwoman. The Department of Energy does not

have a position on Mr. Kreis's statement and/or filing at this time.

Thank you.

2.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Thank you. And Ms. Brown.

MS. BROWN: It's tough to object to a hearing when you're called to answer questions. And, so, we don't object to holding a hearing, per se.

However, there are valid points of "What is the scope of this hearing?" "Is it going to foreclose consideration of rate base at a later date?" These are questions that the Company has.

Today, it is proceeding as if this is informational, under the Commission's ability under, you know, prehearings, to check in on issues. I mean, this isn't like it's a temporary rate hearing that's decided on the merits. It's not a evidentiary, you know, ruling on a motion to compel, which, you know, would have some finality to it. We are here to present the document that was requested, and to be open for any questions on it.

It is not -- I mean, if this hearing was to be akin to single-issue ratemaking, where the finality of rate base, as it's going to be included in the, you know, April hearings on the revenue requirement, if there's going to be some finality to it, I think we would object. Because we still have discovery, as Staff correctly noted in its position statement, that the 2020 Annual Report hasn't been audited yet.

2.

1.3

2.2

And, for small water utilities that don't have -- well, let me break the scope out a little bit farther. Electrics and gas utilities have a lot of cost trackers. Water utilities don't usually have cost trackers, although some water utilities have a WICA or a QCPAC capital expenditure cost tracker. But, when you get down to the small water utilities, which Abenaki is still a small water utility, usually it's a -- a step increase has been the mechanism that the Commission has traditionally used. And it is assets out twelve months outside of the test year, test year being 2019, it is the 2020 assets that have been included in the rate filing, that Abenaki is presuming will be treated as a step,

```
1
         either Step 1 or Step 2, depending on the timing
         of the in-service date of the assets.
 2.
 3
                    And just if I can editorialize on the
 4
         step.
                The step mechanism has worked well, to
 5
         allow a utility fresh out of a rate case to
 6
         actually have a chance at earning its rate of
 7
         return, and not right out of the gate be put at
         such a disadvantage because that rate base from
 9
         that 12 months post test year was not included.
10
                    So, with that, and knowing that there
11
         is discovery, knowing that the Commission still
12
         has a merits hearing for April, and this hearing,
1.3
         it has not indicated that it is replacing those
14
         hearings with this hearing, we are ready to
15
         proceed on an informational basis.
16
                    Thank you.
17
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you.
18
         don't know if Ms. Bresson or -- well, let's start
19
         with Ms. Bresson. Do you want to be heard on
20
         this question?
2.1
                                  I'm sorry, I was on mute.
                    MS. BRESSON:
2.2
         I have no position at this time.
23
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay.
24
         Phillips?
```

```
1
                   MR. PHILLIPS:
                                   The same for Tioga.
 2.
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Oh, okay. Sorry.
 3
         Did you say "same for Tioga"?
 4
                   MR. PHILLIPS: Correct. Yes. We have
 5
         no position at this point.
 6
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
 7
                   Commissioner Goldner, do you have any
         questions?
                    (Chairwoman Martin and Commissioner
 9
                   Goldner conferring.)
10
11
                   MS. BRESSON: Excuse me. This is Ms.
12
         Bresson.
                   I can't hear anything.
1.3
                   MR. PHILLIPS: The same with Tioga.
14
                   MS. BROWN: This is Marcia Brown, from
15
         Abenaki.
                   And I understand that you cannot see,
16
         but the Commissioners are conferring. And, so,
17
         the silence is because of that.
18
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Intentional
19
         silence. We're going to go off the record and --
20
                   MS. BRESSON: Thank you, Marcia.
2.1
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: We're going to go
2.2
         off the record and take a break, and likely until
23
         two o'clock, so the Commissioners can confer.
24
         Sorry for confusing the parties. Off the record.
```

1 (Recess taken at 1:55 p.m. and the 2. hearing resumed at 2:23 p.m.) 3 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Let's go 4 back on the record. We just took a brief break, 5 and we are returning, and had a discussion about 6 Mr. Kreis's argument related to proceeding with 7 this hearing in general. And the Commission has 8 decided to proceed with the hearing. We do not believe that it's the case in court, or here, or 9 10 anywhere, that the deciding -- that the 11 decision-marker cannot hold multiple hearings to 12 take evidence. So, we're going to proceed with 1.3 the evidentiary hearing today, and have the 14 witnesses sworn in. 15 Ms. Brown, can you introduce the 16 witnesses for us? 17 MS. BROWN: I would first like to ask a 18 clarification on the scope. Is it anticipated 19 that this Commission is taking evidence on rate 20 base for a determination on rate base sooner than 21 the merits hearing? 2.2 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: No. So, the 23 Commission is -- this is an evidentiary hearing. 24 We are taking evidence. But it is not

```
1
         anticipated that there will be a decision in
         advance on the rate base.
 2.
 3
                    MS. BROWN: I appreciate that
 4
         clarification.
 5
                    And I'll start with the witnesses. And
 6
         we have -- I'm sorry. I'll be calling Nicholas
 7
         LaChance, Robert Gallo, and Stephen St. Cyr as a
 8
         witness panel.
 9
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
10
         Mr. Patnaude, could you swear them in please.
11
                    (Whereupon Nicholas LaChance,
12
                    Robert Gallo, and Stephen P. St. Cyr
1.3
                    were duly sworn by the Court Reporter.)
14
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
15
         Ms. Brown.
16
                    NICHOLAS LaCHANCE, SWORN
17
                      ROBERT GALLO, SWORN
18
                   STEPHEN P. ST. CYR, SWORN
19
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
20
    BY MS. BROWN:
21
         Mr. LaChance, I'm going to start with you first.
22
         And if you could please state your name and
23
         position for the record?
24
          (LaChance) My name is Nicholas LaChance.
```

```
1
         am Vice President of Abenaki Water Company.
 2
         And can you please explain your responsibilities
 3
         within that position?
 4
         (LaChance) Sure. My responsibilities mainly
 5
         revolve around regulatory affairs, as well as
 6
         financial affairs of the Company.
 7
    Q
         Can you please briefly describe your involvement
 8
         with this rate proceeding?
 9
         (LaChance) Sure. I filed prefiled testimony with
10
         this hearing, or in this docket, as well as
11
         answering data requests from the various parties.
12
         And can you please state for the record what you
13
         consider to be your area of expertise?
14
         (LaChance) Yes. Again, the financial and
15
         regulatory aspects of the Company.
16
         Thank you. Mr. Gallo, can you please state your
17
         name and position for the record?
18
         (Gallo) Yes. Excuse me. My name is Robert
    Α
19
         Gallo. I'm the President of Abenaki Water
20
         Company.
21
         And please describe your responsibilities in that
22
         position?
23
         (Gallo) I manage day-to-day operations with the
24
         field operation staff, work on capital -- design
```

```
1
         and manage capital projects for the Company.
 2
         work with Nick LaChance on overall management of
 3
         the Company. And I handle permitting and
 4
         regulatory work as well.
 5
         Thank you. Mr. Gallo, do you hold any licenses,
 6
         professional licenses?
 7
    Α
         (Gallo) I do. I'm a registered Professional
 8
         Engineer in New Hampshire, Vermont,
 9
         Massachusetts, and Connecticut.
10
         Okay. Can you please describe your involvement
11
         with this docket?
12
         (Gallo) Yes. I prepared several exhibits, mainly
13
         pertaining to mapping and, you know, exhibits
14
         related to data requests. I've also assisted the
15
         team in preparing responses to data requests.
16
         Okay. Thank you. If I could turn to Mr. St.
17
         Cyr, if I could please. And, Mr. St. Cyr, can
18
         you state your name and business for the record?
19
         (St. Cyr) My name is Stephen P. St. Cyr. I own
    Α
20
         and operate St. Cyr & Associates.
21
         And what services have you been providing
    Q
22
         Abenaki?
23
         (St. Cyr) I provide primarily accounting,
24
         financial, and regulatory services.
```

```
1
         Thank you. And please describe your area of
 2
         expertise for the record please?
 3
    Α
         (St. Cyr) Accounting, management, and regulatory
 4
         services, such as filing petitions for financing
 5
         and rate cases before this Commission.
 6
    Q
         Okay. Thank you. And can you please describe
 7
         your involvement with this docket?
 8
         (St. Cyr) Yes. I prepared the original rate case
 9
         exhibits. I prepared testimony. I prepared the
10
         Petition, and oversaw other aspects of the
11
         filing. And I also responded to and oversaw the
12
         responses to data requests, and worked with the
13
         parties on a Settlement Agreement for Temporary
14
         Rates.
15
         Now, when you say you "prepared the Company's
16
         rate filing", do you have Exhibit 2 in front of
17
         you?
18
         (St. Cyr) I do.
19
         And is that the rate filing that you prepared for
20
         the Company?
21
         (St. Cyr) That's correct.
22
    Q
         Okay. All right. Thank you. And how long have
23
         you been involved in rate proceedings before this
24
         Commission?
```

```
1
          (St. Cyr) A quick answer is "probably too long",
 2
         but it's been over 25 years.
 3
    Q
         Okav.
                Thank you. Now, I'm going to start with
 4
               Do you have in front of you Exhibit 20?
 5
         (LaChance) I do.
 6
         Okay. And can you please identify for the record
 7
         what Exhibit 20 is?
 8
         (LaChance) Yes. Exhibit 20 is the Company's
 9
         response to the data request that it received
10
         from the Commission, specifically outlining the
11
         system asset inventory and the condition by
12
         system, and that would be for each of the four
1.3
         water systems: Lakeland, White Rock,
14
         Tioga-Belmont, Tioga-Gilford Village. In
         addition to those four, it was also the Lakeland
15
16
         sewer system.
17
                   Additionally, there were maps that were
18
         provided that illustrated infrastructure and
19
         equipment, as it pertains to the five systems.
20
         And then, finally, we had submitted a five-year
21
         capital spending plan by system.
2.2
    Q
         Okay. Thank you. And, Mr. LaChance, can you --
         I'll cut to the chase. Did you prepare or was
23
24
         this Exhibit 20 prepared under your direct
```

```
1
          supervision and control?
 2
          (LaChance) Yes.
 3
         And, Mr. Gallo, did you have any participation in
 4
         preparing Exhibit 20?
 5
          (Gallo) Yes. That would be the mapping that was
 6
         prepared for the response.
 7
    Q
         And, Mr. St. Cyr, did you have any involvement in
 8
         the preparation of Exhibit 20?
 9
          (St. Cyr) So, I was involved in working with the
10
         Company's accountants in sort of formatting the
11
         report, and then, subsequently, had reviewed the
12
         report, both prior to and once it was final,
13
         finalized.
14
         Okay. And, Mr. St. Cyr, with respect to the test
    Q
15
         year, what is the test year for this rate
16
         proceeding?
17
    Α
          (St. Cyr) It's the 12 months ended December 31,
18
         2019.
19
         Okay. And was some of the information that was
    Q
20
         provided in Exhibit 20 outside of the test year?
21
         (St. Cyr) Yes.
    Α
22
         And can you please give us an explanation as to
23
         what parts of that, of Exhibit 20, are not within
24
         the test year?
```

```
1
          (St. Cyr) So, Exhibit 20 shows all additions and
 2.
         retirements reflected in plant in service up
 3
         through the end of 12/31/2020. So, there would
 4
         be some 2020 additions and retirements that were
 5
         not reflected in the test year and were not
 6
         reflected in the filing.
 7
         Okay. And, in your experience, and I had alluded
    Q
 8
         to earlier in my opening, step adjustments, is it
         that sometimes assets, in your experience for
 9
10
         water companies, are included in a rate filing,
11
         even though they are beyond a test year, because
12
         of a step mechanism?
13
         (St. Cyr) Yes.
    Α
14
         Mr. St. Cyr, do you have Exhibit 2 in front of
15
         vou?
16
         (St. Cyr) I do.
17
         Okay. Because I'd like to just walk through rate
18
         base, what is usually in rate base in the rate
19
         filing. And I want to just make sure we compare
20
         and contrast and know what is in the October 7th
21
         filing, which is Exhibit 20. So, if I could have
22
         you turn to Page 122 of Exhibit 2. And let me
23
         when you --
24
         (St. Cyr) I have it.
```

```
1
         Okay. So, the question to you is, on this
 2
         Schedule 3, Rate Base, and the sample we're using
 3
         is Lakeland, can you please list off what is
 4
         usually included in the rate base calculation in
 5
         the revenue requirement?
 6
         (St. Cyr) So, this is -- this is Schedule 3 that
 7
         was part of the original filing for permanent
 8
         rates. And each of the systems has a similar
 9
         Schedule 3, White Rock, Lakeland, Tioga-Gilford
10
         Village, and Tioga-Belmont. There was also a
11
         Schedule 3 for the combined water systems.
12
                   But, as you look at Bates
1.3
         Page 022 [122?], this is a typical rate base
14
         schedule, and includes plant in service,
15
         accumulated depreciation, materials and supplies,
16
         miscellaneous deferred debits, and the related
17
         amortization of those debits, contribution in aid
18
         of construction and the accumulated amortization
19
         of contribution in aid of construction,
20
         accumulated deferred income taxes, and then cash
21
         working capital.
22
    Q
         Okay. When you -- it came over on our sound that
23
         you were referring to "Page 22". But you're
24
         referring to Page 122 of Exhibit 2, is that
```

```
1
         correct?
 2
         (St. Cyr) Correct.
 3
         Okay. All right. Now, the next question is,
 4
         using this list, and what was requested in for
 5
         Exhibit 20, can you just distinguish to us what
 6
         the October 7th materials contain of this list of
 7
         rate base that you just listed off?
 8
         (St. Cyr) So, the request included plant in
 9
         service and accumulated depreciation. It
         included what was referred to as "net CIAC",
10
11
         which is the contribution in aid of construction
12
         less the accumulated amortization of CIAC, and
1.3
         accumulated deferred income taxes.
14
                    So, the other side of that question is
15
         "what was not included in the request?" And that
16
         would be materials and supplies, the
17
         miscellaneous deferred debits, and the related
18
         amortizations of that, and cash working capital.
19
         I appreciate that distinction. Thank you very
    Q
20
         much.
21
                    And, Mr. LaChance, I'd like to turn
22
         your attention to Exhibit 20, if you have that in
23
         front of you.
24
                    MS. BROWN: And, for the record, I'm
```

```
referring to 20, because that is the public
 1
 2.
         version, because of system maps, and a pending
 3
         request for confidential treatment of those maps,
 4
         Exhibit 21 has been marked as "confidential".
 5
         I'd just make that note for the record.
 6
    BY MS. BROWN:
 7
         Mr. LaChance, with respect to this October 7th
 8
         filing, I'd like to just briefly go through some
 9
         of the elements of this. And can you turn to
10
         Bates Page 007? The document has a "Page 1" on
11
         it as well, but --
12
         (LaChance) Yes, I'm there.
1.3
         And if you could just please summarize the
14
         systems that are included in this report and when
15
         the Company acquired them?
16
         (LaChance) Sure. So, the four water systems that
17
         make up the docket are Lakeland Water, as well as
18
         White Rock Water, and we have Tioga-Belmont and
19
         Tioga-Gilford Village. And, in addition to the
20
         White -- in addition to the four water systems,
21
         we have the one wastewater collection system
2.2
         known as "Lakeland Sewer".
                    Lakeland Water and Lakeland Sewer, as
23
24
         well as White Rock, were acquired together in
```

```
1
         February 2014 by Abenaki. And then,
 2
         subsequently, Tioga-Belmont and Tioga-Gilford
         Village were acquired in the same docket in May
 3
 4
         of 2019.
 5
         Okay. Thank you very much for that. And can you
 6
         just walk us through? There's a summary page,
 7
         and then more specific information. Can you turn
 8
         to the next page, it's Bates Page 008, Page 2 of
 9
         the report?
10
         (LaChance) Okay.
11
         And this page is in here, is it correct, because
12
         this is the summary of the content?
13
         (LaChance) Correct.
14
         Okay. And, if parties and the Commission want
15
         any more details, then is it that they would go
16
         further, and I'm just looking at Lakeland on
17
         Bates Page 009, this would be an example of the
18
         detail that is provided for each system, is that
19
         correct?
20
         (LaChance) That is correct.
21
    Q
         Okay.
22
         (LaChance) Sorry. Did you say "Bates Page 009"?
         I said "Bates Page 009". I'm sorry, were you
23
24
         asking me a question?
```

# [WITNESS PANEL: LaChance|Gallo|St. Cyr]

1 (LaChance) No. I was just verifying that it was Bates Page 009. 2. 3 So, yes. Bates Page 009 would be an 4 overview of Lakeland, would be a roll-up of the 5 various assets and their classes, which 6 additional information was provided within this 7 exhibit as well. 8 Okay. And, so, if I wanted the detail for Q 9 Lakeland, then I would go over to Bates Page 015. 10 Is that how this document is arranged? 11 (LaChance) That is correct. Α 12 Okay. And, so, if I go to Bates Page 010, for 1.3 White Rock, the summary is on Bates Page 010, and 14 the detail starts on Bates Page 035. Is that 15 accurate? 16 (LaChance) That is correct. 17 Q Okay. Okay. And is that the sequence of how all 18 of the systems were reported in this report? 19 (LaChance) Yes, it is. Α 20 Okay. All right. Mr. LaChance, are you aware of 21 any changes or corrections since October 7th that 22 need to be made to this filing? 23 Α (LaChance) No, I am not. 24 Mr. Gallo, are you aware of any changes or

```
1
         corrections that need to be made to this filing?
 2
         (Gallo) I'm not.
 3
         And, Mr. St. Cyr, are you aware of any changes or
 4
         corrections that need to be made to this filing
 5
         as it stood on October 7th?
 6
         (St. Cyr) No.
 7
         Okay. And could you speak to how the year-end
 8
         audits function? And, you know, the Company has
         provided information, but do year-end audits, the
 9
10
         Company's internal or external audits, help
11
         fine-tune any of these, the assets that are
12
         reported?
1.3
         (St. Cyr) Yes. So, as part of the normal
14
         year-end review process, the Company would be
15
         reviewing its plant in service, and any related
16
         retirements. And then, in addition to that, it's
17
         outside accountants would be doing a similar
18
         review, while the internal accountants are
19
         probably looking at every asset and every detail,
20
         there might be a materiality factor that the
21
         external auditors might use.
2.2
                    But, for all practical purposes,
23
         they're looking at, you know, the significant
24
         additions to plant and the related retirements.
```

```
1
         Okay. And, Mr. LaChance, one other question for
 2.
         you. When the Company received the September 7th
 3
         request for the data, the Commission included a
 4
         format. And can you tell me if the Company used
 5
         that format for reporting its data?
 6
         (LaChance) Yes, it did.
 7
         Okay. Thank you. And that format is reflected
    Q
 8
         on the itemized pages for each system?
         (LaChance) That is correct.
 9
10
                   MS. BROWN: Okay. Okay, my witnesses
11
         answered all the remaining questions I had. So,
         the witnesses are available for
12
1.3
         cross-examination.
14
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you,
         Ms. Brown. Mr. Kreis.
15
16
                   MR. KREIS: I have no questions.
17
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
18
         And Mr. Tuomala?
19
                   MR. TUOMALA: Thank you, Madam
20
         Chairwoman. I had one follow-up question that
21
         had been touched upon by Attorney Brown earlier.
2.2
         So, I guess I would direct it at any of the
23
         three.
24
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
```

```
1
    BY MR. TUOMALA:
 2.
         I guess, Mr. St. Cyr, as you had -- was the
 3
         author of this document. But the information
 4
         provided does contain 2020 information, is that
 5
         correct?
 6
         (St. Cyr) That's correct.
 7
    Q
         And, as you stated, that this instant rate
 8
         proceeding has a 2019 test year?
 9
         (St. Cyr) That is also correct.
10
         Okay. And for purposes of this rate filing, to
11
         your knowledge have the 2020 numbers, that
12
         information, has that been audited by the
13
         Department of Energy's Audit Staff?
14
         (St. Cyr) So, I believe the Audit Staff has
15
         reviewed the Annual Report, and has asked a
16
         series of questions to which the Company has
17
         responded. In the old days, they used to refer
18
         to that a "desk audit". But it's not the same
19
         kind of audit that would take place if 2020 was a
20
         test year.
21
         Okay. So, again, for purposes of this rate
    Q
2.2
         proceeding, though, that information for 2020 has
23
         yet to be audited formally as in the usual rate
24
         process, is that correct?
```

```
1
         (St. Cyr) That is correct.
 2
                   MR. TUOMALA: Okay. Thank you very
 3
         much, Mr. St. Cyr.
 4
                   Madam Chairwoman, I have no further
 5
         questions at this time. Thank you.
 6
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you,
 7
         Mr. Tuomala.
                   Ms. Bresson, did you have questions?
 8
                   MS. BRESSON: Not at this time, Madam
 9
10
         Chairwoman.
11
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. And Mr.
12
         Phillips?
1.3
                   MR. PHILLIPS: No questions at this
14
         time.
15
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
         Commissioner Goldner.
16
17
                   COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes, I do have
18
         some questions. First, my compliments on a very
19
         clean and professional reporting structure.
20
         appreciate that the Company clearly had the
21
         intent of clarifying the asset picture. So, I
22
         appreciate that. And I also appreciate, on
23
         Page 1, Bates Page 008 -- Bates Page 007, rather,
24
         of the filing the Abenaki timeline from being
```

1 founded through the proposed Abenaki sale. 2 thank you for putting it together. It's very 3 clear and concise, and much appreciated. 4 I do have some questions. 5 BY COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: 6 On Page 2, I'm in Exhibit 21, but I won't talk 7 about any of the maps, and I think it's the same 8 Bates page as 20, so that would be Bates Page 9 008. A question maybe for Mr. St. Cyr, but any 10 of the witnesses can testify. 11 If I'm looking at the table on Bates 12 Page 008, why does the rate base equal the 1.3 purchase price? 14 And just as a quick example, while 15 you're thinking about it, I'm noticing in the 16 Abenaki acquisition by Aquarion, the rate base is 17 quite different from the purchase price. In the 18 aggregate, I know it covered multiple states and 19 so forth. But the point is, is it is unusual, I 20 think, for the rate base to equal the purchase 21 price. 2.2 Α (LaChance) In these particular -- Steve, I'm not 23 sure if you were going to -- if you were going to

answer or not. I can start it off, Steve.

24

```
1
          (St. Cyr) Sure.
 2
          (LaChance) In these particular -- in these
 3
         particular filings and transactions that the
 4
         Company performed, the Company did not pay any
 5
         sort of acquisition premiums. The Company just
 6
         simply acquired the rate base assets of the
 7
         utilities in each of those different
 8
         transactions.
 9
    Q
         Okay. Was there money that changed hands?
10
         other words, was the purchase price really zero?
11
         Or was there literally, in the case of Lakeland
12
         and White Rock, $444,000 that changed hands?
1.3
         (St. Cyr) So, there would have been money that
14
         changed hands. So, at the time of the purchase
15
         agreement, there would have been a calculation
16
         done, largely based on rate base, and, of course,
17
         that transaction then has to be approved by the
18
         Commission, and ultimately there's a closing that
19
         follows. So, you're some number of months after
20
         the sort of determined purchase price at the time
21
         of the purchase agreement. And then, at the time
22
         of the closing, as best as possible the selling
23
         company goes back and sort of does a
24
         recalculation of, you know, its rate base
```

2.

1.3

2.2

components, and then the buying company sort of reviews that, and comes to an agreement based on what that calculation shows.

And, if I could just say, with respect to the acquisition adjustments, it's because the Commission historically hasn't included acquisition adjustments, the buying and selling utilities often try to reach an agreement based on it's sort of actual rate base at the time in which the closing takes place.

- So, not to put too fine a point on it, but, under "Purchase Price", that wasn't actually the purchase price, that was just sort of cut-and-pasted over from the "Rate Base", is that fair?
- A (St. Cyr) I think, generally. But it's also that it is, once that calculation is determined, it does, in fact, pay that amount. And it's supposed to substantially represent rate base.

  There may be some estimates involved, such as depreciation and amortization of CIAC and some other things, based on the closing date. But it is substantially rate base that the buying company would have paid to the selling company.

```
1
               And I'm just remembering a large premium
 2
         that Aquarion paid to Abenaki in the acquisition.
 3
         And, again, this was across all states, but it
 4
         was 30 or 40 or $50 million, some large number.
 5
         You're saying, in this case, the rate base and
 6
         the purchase price are basically the same.
 7
         you're not saying that $444,000, in the case of
 8
         Belmont-Lakeland and Bow-White Rock, was the
 9
         purchase price, it was something different than
10
         that? It might have only been one dollar, but it
         wasn't 444K that changed hands, right?
11
12
         (St. Cyr) I would -- I'd have to double-check for
1.3
         sure, but I would say it's substantially --
14
         that's exactly what the Company paid. There was
15
         a calculation done and, based on that
16
         calculation, that's what the Company paid the
17
         former owner.
18
                   COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Okay. Yes, I'd
19
         just -- Chairwoman, I'd just like to make a
20
         record request to validate the purchase price on
21
         Bates Page 008.
22
                   Okay. Thank you. Moving on.
23
    BY COMMISSIONER GOLDNER:
24
         Does the rate base, in this chart, equal the book
```

```
1
                 So, I'm looking at the last column, "Rate
 2
         Base Acquired", "444K". Does that equal the book
 3
         value, in other words, the purchase price, less
 4
         accumulated depreciation?
 5
         (LaChance) Just to clarify, is that at present
 6
         day or at the point in time when it was acquired?
 7
         I would say at point of -- well, that's a good
    Q
 8
         question. What does the 444 represent? Is that
 9
         the current price or was that at acquisition?
10
         (LaChance) That was at acquisition.
11
         Okay. Okay, and was that -- so, my question
12
         would be then, is that rate base at acquisition,
13
         was that equal to the book value at acquisition?
14
         (LaChance) It would be --
15
         (St. Cyr) So, I would say yes. It would be the
16
         plant in service, less accumulated depreciation,
17
         which is what's sort of commonly referred to as
18
         "book value". But it would also take into
19
         consideration the CIAC and the amortization, and
20
         maybe some other rate base items as well.
21
         Okay. And my challenge here, when I look at, for
    Q
22
         example, if we go to Page 38, so add six for the
23
         Bates page, 044, and we look at the footnotes
24
         there, it talks about a lot of these assets being
```

```
1
         acquired, and then there are some estimates made
 2.
         for accumulated depreciation and so forth. So, I
 3
         quess my question is, how did you know the book
 4
         value or the rate base at acquisition, without
 5
         knowing the accumulated depreciation?
 6
         (St. Cyr) So, the selling company would have
 7
         provided Abenaki with some sort of depreciation
         schedule, which would have reflected what it had
 8
 9
         accrued for depreciation up to the closing date.
10
         I think that statement there is saying that they,
11
         you know, they would have reviewed that, and
12
         looked at that for reasonableness, and made a
1.3
         determination that that was accurate at the time.
14
         But it can't really speak to what the prior
15
         company did or didn't do with respect to
16
         accumulated depreciation.
17
    Q
         Okay. So, at acquisition, you would have -- the
18
         Company would have received "here's the purchase
19
         price, here's the accumulated depreciation",
20
         without all the tables and so forth, but they
21
         would have reported it. And would they have
         reported it asset-by-asset or did you get those
2.2
23
         numbers sort of at a very high level, like for an
24
         annual report or something like that?
```

```
1
          (St. Cyr) It was largely asset-by-asset.
 2
         have to remember that, like in the case of White
 3
         Rock, which goes back to 1966, like the
 4
         recordkeeping that might have been done 40 or 50
 5
         years ago is different than the recordkeeping
 6
         that's done today. So, you could get one
 7
         particular line item, for example, that says
 8
         "pump station", that would include, you know,
 9
         multiple things, the pump, the treatment, the
10
         structure. So, the Company was dependent on the
11
         prior owner for whatever level of detail they
12
         provided.
13
         Okay. Yes. Happily, I guess, if it's 50 years
14
         old, it should have had a zero book value, but --
15
         (St. Cyr) Yes.
    Α
16
         -- I'll have to leave that for later. Yes.
                                                       So,
17
         very good.
18
                   So, moving on to, again, you're looking
19
         at this book value on Page 2 again, so Bates
20
         Page 008, and I'm trying to figure out how we get
21
         that book value in Page -- on Page 12, and I know
22
         it probably involves some additional pages, but
23
         I'll let you get to Page 12, so Bates Page 018.
24
         Get there myself. Okay.
```

```
Okay. So, I'm looking at the "Net Book
 1
 2.
         Value" on Page 12, Bates Page 018, at 350K.
 3
         I'm -- actually, let me go back. Check that.
 4
                   Check that. Let's go to Pages 3 and 4.
 5
         And you've got a rate base for Lakeland, and
 6
         you've got a rate base for White Rock. Is the
 7
         difference between the two numbers, you've got
         249 on Page 3, and 221 on Page 4, I assume that's
         different than the 444, because of the timing,
 9
         right? The 444 was at acquisition, and the 470,
10
11
         on Pages 3 and 4, is 2020 values, is that fair?
12
         (St. Cyr) That's correct. With the -- the later
1.3
         numbers would include additions since the
14
         acquisition and any depreciation that would
15
         offset that.
16
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner
17
         Goldner, can I just clarify for the record, when
18
         you just said "Page 3 and 4", are you speaking
19
         about Bates Page 009 and 010?
20
                   COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Correct.
21
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
22
                   COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes. I've got
23
         an older version here.
24
    BY COMMISSIONER GOLDNER:
```

```
1
               Very good. So, moving on to Page 5, so
         Okay.
 2
         that's Bates Page 011, is it fair to say, for
 3
         White Rock, that there is about 953K in capital
 4
         that's required over the next five years, on a
 5
         2021 rate base, and less the $350,000 grant from
 6
         the Trust Fund, is that, you know, high level,
 7
         what's going on here?
 8
         (St. Cyr) Nick, I think you'd be in a better
 9
         position. I can speak to the fiscal year 2021,
10
         in that those are already planned additions that
11
         were proformed into the rate case, and that the
12
         Commission has actually approved the related
13
         financing for that particular project.
14
         Yes. You're talking about the 350K. Yes. No,
    Q
15
         so, just what I'm trying to understand is, from a
16
         ratepayer perspective, they currently rate base
17
         of 221. There's required capital for upkeep of
18
         the system and to put everything in good shape of
19
         953, less the 350 in the Trust Fund.
20
                   So, I guess what I'm trying to point
21
         out is that I think the ratepayer there can
22
         expect a three or four X increase in rates based
         on the required capital of White Rock. Is that
23
24
         fair or am I missing something?
```

```
1
         (St. Cyr) So, I think that's fair. As part of
 2
         the rate filing, the Company did propose that
 3
         rates be consolidated between the four systems.
 4
         And, if that were to happen, that kind of an
 5
         increase in rate base would be shared over a
 6
         larger number of customers. So, depending on how
 7
         the Commission ruled on the consolidation, that
 8
         may or may not be true.
 9
    Q
         Okay. Very good. Thank you. That's very clear.
10
         So, thank you.
11
                    I'm going to move on to Page 9, Bates
12
         Page 015. Get there. Okay. I just have a few
1.3
         accounting questions. So, whoever would like to
14
         answer from an accounting perspective.
15
                   And I just want to understand, I won't
16
         go through all of the different four entities,
17
         I'll just use Lakeland, because I think it's a
18
         perfectly fine example. If I look at line -- so,
19
         it's "Account 301 Organization", 68.6K, what is
20
         that and why is that in the rate base?
21
         (St. Cyr) So, I'll address it, and then, Nick,
    Α
22
         you might want to add to it.
23
                   So, these are largely costs that the
24
         Company incurred in making the purchase and
```

```
1
         receiving PUC approval of that process.
 2
         then, it's in rate base because the Company had
 3
         proposed that it be recovered over I'm going to
 4
         say some period of time, probably 20 years, maybe
 5
         25, and that was, you know, supported by the
 6
         parties, reflected in a settlement agreement,
 7
         ultimately approved by the Commission.
 8
    Q
               The reason I'm asking is, it says "Date
 9
         Place in Service 1970". So, I would assume, 51
10
         years later, it would be zero?
11
         (St. Cyr) So, I actually -- I don't think that
12
         date is correct. I'm pretty sure those are the
13
         costs that were incurred. If you go to Bates
14
         Page 013, it does have a "plant in service 1970".
15
         I'm wondering if the "1970" is the sort of year
16
         in which the Lakeland itself began. But I'm
17
         pretty sure those costs were incurred in 2014,
18
         and then would have been included in the 2015
19
         rate case that would have been approved by the
20
         Commission.
21
         Yes.
    Q
22
         (St. Cyr) We can verify that. We'll --
23
         Yes. Thank you.
24
          (St. Cyr) Do you want to make that a second
```

```
1
         record request?
                         We'll --
 2
         Yes, please. Yes. I mean, if it's -- if it's an
 3
         asset, if it's capital, and it's being
 4
         depreciated appropriately, then, of course, it
 5
         should be in the rate base. But what I see is
 6
         1970 in plant additions, then, upon acquisition
 7
         in 2014, it starts to depreciate, kind of,
 8
         there's no depreciation until about 2016. And
 9
         then, it appears to be on some sort of
10
         quasi-linear schedule from then. So, just sort
11
         of like what is it, and why wasn't it depreciated
12
         until 2016? And, you know, it's a 1970 asset.
1.3
                   So, yes. Thank you. That would be --
14
         that would be just great.
15
                   And I'll move on. I just have a few
16
         lines to look at. So, don't worry, I'm not going
         to go over every one.
17
18
                   But, on Line 303, it's got a line
19
         called "Land & Land Rights", which land is never
20
         depreciated, and you haven't depreciated it,
21
         which is appropriate. But is land a -- is land a
22
         capital utility asset? Should that be there or
23
         should land not be capitalized?
24
         (St. Cyr) So, I think these may be easements,
```

```
1
         which gives the right -- the Company the right to
 2
         access, whether it's the pump station or
 3
         something, or maybe access to the well. And the
 4
         land rights are actually amortized over some
 5
         period of time.
 6
         Yes. That's -- the challenge is, if you go down
 7
         below, into the 303 breakout, it's not amortized
 8
         at all. It's just fixed at "7,362", no
         amortization schedule. It has "7,362" --
 9
10
         (St. Cyr) Oh.
11
         -- all the way through 2021, no amortization, you
12
         know.
13
         (St. Cyr) So, Nick, do we know if that's the
14
         land? Maybe that's the land under the pump
         station? Let me see if I can find it.
15
16
         (LaChance) We should take that -- yes, I think
17
         we'd be best suited to take that as a Record
18
         Request 3.
19
         Okay. Thank you. It's not a lot of money. I'm
    Q
20
         just trying to -- it builds credibility, if I go
21
         through a few numbers, and we can make sense,
22
         then it builds credibility. I just want to make
23
         sure that, if the small numbers are right, then
24
         probably the big numbers are right.
```

```
1
         (St. Cyr) And these are -- earlier I said that,
 2
         you know, part of the challenge of a buyer buying
 3
         an old system, so it's likely that that was
 4
         identified as "303 Land & Land Rights". I can
 5
         see the in-service date is 1994, and it comes
 6
         across with an amount. Same with if you look at
 7
         the "Structures", "304", you know, it just has a
         broad, you know, "Structures", and three amounts
 8
 9
         for different time periods. It doesn't -- the
10
         buying company doesn't know all the components
11
         that necessarily make up the "Structures &
12
         Improvements". That's part of the challenge of a
13
         new company buying an old company.
14
         Yes. And exactly. And, in any acquisition, and
    Q
15
         I'm just thinking of the Aquarion acquisition,
16
         it's important that the assets be put on the
17
         books at the correct -- at the correct price, if
18
         I can call it that. So, understanding that
19
         there's some complexities when it comes in, when
20
         Abenaki is selling to Aquarion, and those assets,
21
         if it's not clear what they purchase them for,
22
         and if the accumulated depreciation isn't quite
23
         right, then it's hard to have confidence that the
24
         asset is being put on the books, on the new
```

```
1
         acquisition, at the appropriate price.
 2
         that's --
 3
         (St. Cyr) I can say, with respect to Lakeland and
 4
         White Rock in particular, that there was a rate
 5
         case that came shortly after the acquisition.
 6
         And, you know, all of these assets would have
 7
         been looked at in the context of that particular
 8
         rate case. And, of course, those prior companies
 9
         would have filed annual reports that would have
10
         been reporting some of these same numbers all
11
         along. So, the Company does have some confidence
12
         that there was some review going on throughout
13
         the process.
14
         Yes. No, no problem. And I appreciate that you
    Q
15
         weren't buying Enron. So, that's good. But
16
         those things do happen, where there's, you know,
17
         one needs to audit and check and make sure that
18
         the assets are on the books for the right price,
19
         because not everyone is, unfortunately, is
20
         ethical.
21
                   Let me move to Line 311. There's some
         pumping equipment there, too. And I won't
22
23
         belabor the point, but once again we have pumps
24
         on the books since 1987. Is it possible that a
```

```
1
         pump from 1987 is still functioning, and it
 2
         hasn't been completely eliminated or replaced
 3
         with a new pump?
 4
                    I'm on Bates Page 015, Line 311, four
 5
         from the bottom.
 6
         (LaChance) I'm sorry, Bates Page 015? Or is
 7
         it -- I'm showing it as Bates Page 017.
 8
         Sorry. It's natural Page 9, Bates Page 015.
    Q
 9
         Under "Pumping Equipment", first line, "311
10
         Pumps", "1/1/87", which is probably a
11
         placeholder, right, "January 1st, 1987"? But I'm
12
         just trying to figure out why there's these
         older dates, even the 1990 dates right below it,
13
14
         those pumps are getting pretty old. I'm just
15
         surprised that they haven't been replaced and
16
         taken off the books.
17
    Α
         (LaChance) Page 9.
18
         And natural 9, and on Bates Page 015.
19
         (LaChance) Yes. I think if we go to -- if we
    Α
20
         flip over to Bates Page 017, this will show the
21
         offsetting retirements and depreciation.
22
    Q
         I'm sorry, which Bates page was it again?
23
    Α
         (LaChance) Bates Page 017.
24
         017, okay.
```

```
1
         (LaChance) Oh, I'm sorry. Nope, it is natural
 2
         17.
 3
    Q
         Okay. That will work for me. All right. Okay.
 4
         Yes. Let me study that for a second.
 5
         (LaChance) Just to clarify, Bates Page 023.
 6
         Yes. Okay. And I'm sorry, make your point
 7
         again. I'm looking at the page now.
 8
         (LaChance) Sure. So, on Bates Page 23, this is
 9
         going to indicate the actual book value of all
10
         the pumping equipment. So, it will account for
11
         plant retirements, as well as the accumulated
12
         depreciation, whereas the prior page was
13
         indicating original costs and dates placed in
14
         service.
15
               Just in preparation for the final hearing,
16
         I guess what I would say is I heard earlier that
17
         the accumulated depreciation for each asset was
18
         provided at acquisition. I'd suggest adding a
19
         column to the right of the "Cost Basis", and just
20
         reporting, you know, sort of the purchase price
21
         of the asset, the accumulated depreciation, so
22
         everyone can see what's happening.
23
                   I suspect those older pumps, if they're
24
         still in existence, they have been fully
```

```
1
         depreciated.
 2
         (LaChance) Sure.
         But my real question is, I'm just surprised pumps
 3
 4
         that are that old are still in service, which is
 5
         kind of the bigger issue. So, maybe I'll ask the
 6
         question differently. Are those -- when was the
 7
         last time these pumps were audited? Did somebody
         go through and look at each pump and say "Yes,
 8
         that one's still there and functioning", "that
 9
10
         one's still there and functioning"? Has there
11
         been a physical audit of these pumps?
         (LaChance) Yes. And that would be done -- that
12
         would be done on an annual basis.
13
14
         Okay. So, literally, somebody goes and they look
    Q
15
         at each physical asset, and they check a box, is
16
         that what's happening?
17
    Α
         (LaChance) In so many words. Essentially, what's
18
         happening is, you know, we have our asset
19
         listing, and if and when there is equipment
20
         repairs or replacements that are required, the
21
         journal entry would be made at that point in
22
         time, to remove the old asset and install, and
23
         book the new asset onto the balance sheet. And
24
         this would be further reviewed at year-end, both
```

```
1
         from internal -- internally, as well as through
 2
         our external auditors.
 3
    Q
         Okay. And your assets all have a physical asset
 4
         tag? So, it's got a physical number associated
 5
         with it, and the auditor is comparing the asset
 6
         tag to, you know, to what they have in the audit,
 7
         and just verifying that the asset tag matches?
 8
                    In other words, are they looking at it
         and going "yes, there's a pump there and it's
 9
10
         pumping", or is there an asset tag on the pump
11
         that tell them "yes, this is the pump that we
12
         installed in, you know, 2014"?
1.3
         (LaChance) Yes. No, I don't believe that there's
14
         an actual asset tag on the piece of equipment.
15
         Given the relative size of the Company and the
16
         size of these small systems, we just -- we have
17
         the knowledge that this is, in fact, the pump
18
         that was installed three years ago. It's one of
19
         two pumps in the station.
20
         Okay.
21
         (LaChance) You know, that we don't have, you
22
         know, for instance, dozens of pumps for an
23
         individual system.
24
         Okay. Fair. Yes, that's a large company thing.
```

```
1
         I can totally understand that small companies
 2
         wouldn't necessarily have an asset tag on
 3
         everything. No problem.
 4
                   Okay. So, if I go to Page -- natural
 5
         Page 15, Bates Page 021, so -- oh, no, I've
 6
         already got that one checked off. Never mind.
 7
                   Okay. All right. Just two questions
                I'm going to go back to natural Page 11,
 8
         so Bates Page 017. So, under "Services", which
 9
10
         is Line 333, are services supposed to be
11
         capitalized? I would think that would be an
12
         expense item?
         (St. Cyr) They're supposed to be capitalized.
13
14
         Okay.
15
         (St. Cyr) Those are specific PUC Account 333,
16
         which identifies where the cost of services
17
         should be, and that's a component of plant in
18
         service.
19
         Okay. Are there any service-like things that are
20
         expensed or is everything related to service
21
         capitalized? And maybe you could give me an
22
         example of services capitalized? If somebody
23
         services a household to go fix something, and
24
         they come back, is that capitalized or what does
```

```
1
         "services" mean exactly?
 2
         (LaChance) So, the "service" --
 3
         (St. Cyr) Go ahead, Nick.
 4
         (LaChance) Oh, okay. All right. Sorry, Steve.
 5
         The "service" that's being referred to in Account
 6
         333 is the -- it's the physical connection from
 7
         the distribution main that stems off of the
 8
         distribution main and runs to the curb stop,
 9
         which is company-owned. At which point, from the
10
         curb stop, into the customer's house, is a
11
         separate service line or a separate service,
12
         which is owned by the customer. So, that portion
13
         from the curb stop to the main is what we refer
14
         to as the "service", and would be capitalized.
15
         Okay. And what's the --
    0
16
         (St. Cyr) I would just add to that that, so, if
17
         there's a break between the main and the curb
18
         stop, and the Company goes in and fixes that,
19
         that would be expensed. If the break was of such
20
         a nature that the whole service line was
21
         replaced, then that would be capitalized.
22
    Q
         Okay. Thank you. That is very helpful. And
23
         what's the -- how do you depreciate such a
24
         Account 333?
                       Is that on like a five-year
```

```
1
         depreciation schedule, or a ten-year? What kind
 2
         of depreciation schedule?
 3
    Α
         (St. Cyr) Well, the PUC establishes sort of
 4
         recommended guidelines for assets in specific
 5
         accounts. So, the recommended guideline for a
 6
         service is 40 years. So, most of the -- anything
 7
         new would be depreciated over 40 years.
 8
    Q
         Okay. I'm just looking at the depreciation
 9
         schedule. That, yup, that makes sense.
10
         looks like what's happening there. Thank you.
11
                   I'm going to return to Page 11 for my
12
         last question. Again, this is a small one, but I
1.3
         was surprised, on Line 347, that there was a lot
14
         of these small expenses for, you know, computer
15
         set up, a Canon copier, all these kinds of
16
         things.
                 Again, in my experience, outside of
17
         utilities, those would be expense items, not
18
         capital assets. If it's -- I assume you have a
19
         threshold, so anything below a thousand dollars
20
         or something is expensed? Or what's your
21
         threshold for expense to capital?
22
    Α
         (LaChance) Yes. Typically, with Abenaki, the
23
         threshold is 500. And then, again, with some of
24
         these expenses, they're shared expenses as well
```

```
1
         that are spread out across more than one
 2.
         regulated utility, which has been New England
 3
         Service Company's operational mode, if you will,
 4
         in order to find efficiencies, so that Abenaki
 5
         isn't paying for a server, for instance, just to
 6
         serve Abenaki. That same server is going to be
 7
         utilized by the other regulated utilities under
 8
         New England Service Company's umbrella, and then
 9
         allocated appropriately based off of customers,
10
         the customer base.
11
         Okay. So, if I look at the second to last line
    Q
12
         in 347, it says "Develop Emergency Response
         Plan", "452", you're saying that that the total
1.3
14
         cost was something larger than that. Your
15
         portion was 452, and because the total was over
16
         500, you capitalized the asset. Is that fair?
17
    Α
         (LaChance) That's correct.
18
                   COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Thank you,
19
         Chairwoman. That's all the questions I have.
20
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. And I
21
         don't have any questions.
22
                    So, back to you, Ms. Brown, if you have
23
         any redirect?
24
                   MS. BROWN: I do have some clarifying
```

```
1
         questions, because there were some record
 2.
         requests. And I tried my best to write them
 3
         down. But I don't know if your process is to
 4
         summarize them yourself, or if you want me to,
 5
         you know, be my scribe, you know, be the scribe
 6
         and take them up down and respond?
 7
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I had planned to go
 8
         over those when we get to exhibits and admitting
 9
                If you -- unless you have things you want
         to cover on redirect that relate to them?
10
11
                    MS. BROWN: I do not. I do not.
12
         just have one redirect question at this point.
1.3
         And this was to follow up.
14
                      REDIRECT EXAMINATION
15
    BY MS. BROWN:
16
         Mr. St. Cyr, so, you said earlier you've had a
17
         few decades of working before this PUC. When
18
         we're talking about the account numbers here, and
19
         I just want to make sure that we get into the
20
         record, when there's Account 303, 304, 311,
21
         etcetera, do those -- those are required from the
2.2
         New Hampshire Chart of Accounts for Water
23
         Utilities, is that correct?
24
         (St. Cyr) So, as defined, I keep this book close
```

by, as defined by the Uniform System of Accounts 1 2. for Water Utilities that is put out by the Public 3 Utilities Commission. And you kind 333 or any 4 number of other plant accounts, or, actually, all 5 accounts are reflected in here, that Abenaki and 6 the other water utilities follow. 7 MS. BROWN: Thank you for that explanation. And I had no other redirect. 9 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Thank 10 you very much. 11 As far as the record requests, why 12 don't we walk through each of those, just to make 1.3 sure that we're clear on what they are. I had 14 reserved Exhibit 22 for the record request, and I 15 wrote down "to validate the purchase price on 16 Bates Page 021, in Exhibit 21". 17 Commissioner Goldner, can you just 18 confirm or clarify? It was your first record 19 request. You were looking to validate the 20 purchase price, I believe it was 444,000, on 21 Bates Page 021, in Exhibit 21. 22 COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Exhibit 21, 23 Bates 008, they list the purchase price of the

entities, each of the entities. So, Belmont,

```
1
         Bow, Rosebrook is listed in there, too. So, I'm
 2.
         just validating that the purchase price is
 3
         correct in what's listed on there. The reason
         I'm asking, it's exactly what the rate base is.
 4
 5
         So, usually, when two numbers on a spreadsheet
 6
         are exactly the same, there are some questions.
 7
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So, the
         purchase price for each entity on Bates Page 008?
                   COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes.
 9
10
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Then, I had,
11
         for the next record request, reserved Exhibit 23,
12
         and that request was regarding the Organization,
1.3
         Account 301, identified on Bates Page 015 of
14
         Exhibit 21?
15
                   COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes. Yeah.
16
         What is inside that account?
17
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. "What is
18
         it?" is what I had written. But I didn't know if
19
         you had an additional question here. That was
20
         the question.
21
                   Okay. Ms. Brown, does that make sense
2.2
         to you?
                               If I could just have you
23
                   MS. BROWN:
24
         repeat it one more time, just so I have it
```

```
1
         accurate? Thank you.
 2.
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I have
 3
         Exhibit 23 for a record request regarding Account
 4
         301, Organization, which is identified on Bates
 5
         Page 015 of Exhibit 21, Commissioner Goldner
 6
         wants to know what's in that? What is it?
 7
                   MS. BROWN: Thank you.
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And the last one
 8
         that I have is Exhibit 24, for the record request
 9
10
         regarding Bates Page 015 of Exhibit 21, Account
11
         303, Land & Land Rights. Do you have a specific
12
         question related to that?
1.3
                   COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: The same thing.
         What is it? What's inside there?
14
15
                   MS. BROWN: And, again, this is the one
16
         that's on Bates Page 015, Land & Land Rights,
17
         with the "November 1st, 1994"?
18
                   COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Correct.
                                                     Yes.
19
                   MS. BROWN: Okay.
20
                    COMMISSIONER GOLDNER:
                                           Thank you.
2.1
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Any questions about
2.2
         any of those?
23
                   MS. BROWN: Only procedurally. And I
24
         know data responses are due under the rules in
```

```
1
         ten days. Is that going to be applicable here?
 2.
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner
 3
         Goldner, when would you want to receive those?
                   COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I think that's
 4
 5
         okay. Let me just look here.
 6
                    Yes, I think that that's fine.
 7
         Actually, let me say it again. So, Accounts 301
         and 303 are fine. If it would be all right, I
         know there is a 10-day requirement, but, on the
 9
         first one, end of the week would be helpful.
10
11
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: So, Exhibit 22.
                   MS. BROWN: Can I confer with the
12
1.3
         client, to see if they think they can meet that
         deadline?
14
15
                   COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Thank you.
16
                   MS. BROWN: I'm looking at --
17
                    (Mr. LaChance indicating in the
18
                   affirmative.)
19
                   MS. BROWN: I see Nick nodding.
20
         okay, Steve St. Cyr, this may involve you, too?
2.1
                    (Mr. St. Cyr indicating in the
2.2
                   affirmative.)
23
                   MS. BROWN: Okay. I'm getting a nod.
24
         So, we will strive to get that in by week's end.
```

1 COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Thank you very 2. much. 3 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So, the 4 deadline for Exhibit 22 is this Friday, the 12th, 5 and for the other two it's ten days from today. 6 (Exhibits 22, 23, and 24 were reserved 7 for record requests.) CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Anything else related to exhibits? 9 10 I will note that we have only heard 11 testimony related to Exhibits 20 and 21, and then 12 we have 22, 23, and 24 for the record requests. 1.3 The intervenors did submit multiple other 14 exhibits. Is there any objection to receiving all of those into the record? 15 16 MR. KREIS: Madam Chair, the Office of 17 the Consumer Advocate objects to receiving 18 anything into the record at this point. It has 19 been the Commission's custom since for as long as 20 I've been around that the Commission makes 2.1 decisions about what is or is not admitted into 2.2 the record at the end of the merits hearing in 23 the case. And, before that, everything is simply 24 marked for identification. That's what the

reference to "lifting the IDs" is in Commission 1 2. hearings all the time. 3 So, I think decisions about what is or is not of record is absolutely premature at this 4 5 point. 6 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And you make no 7 distinction amongst these? Just being clear about my question, which was, as between the intervenors' submissions, which we haven't 9 10 discussed today, versus the Company's? 11 Well, I think at the end of MR. KREIS: 12 this merits hearing in this docket, everybody, 1.3 including the OCA, can take a look at what has 14 been marked for identification. And, if there 15 are any issues at that point about what should or 16 shouldn't be admitted into the record, then we 17 can raise those issues at that point and then the

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.

Commission can make a ruling, if necessary.

Mr. Tuomala?

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

MR. TUOMALA: I take no issue with Mr. Kreis's suggestion, that it should be withheld until the merits hearing scheduled for next April.

2.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Ms. Brown?

MS. BROWN: And I would concur, the

Company would concur. The Commission is free, I

mean, you've got the record for making a

determination. Then, you have filings that come

in. And, so, to the extent you're, you know,

referring to something, I don't think you need to

admit it. And we can wait until, you know, the

end to have a formal admission of these

documents, after they have been vetted in

discovery, etcetera. But the fact of it having

been, you know, arrived at the doorstep of the

Commission I think is something that the

Commission can at least cite to. I don't know

what the, you know, the purpose is.

My preference is to admit them after, at the end of the merits hearing in April, because that is customary with hearings. And early, before, at the start of this hearing, it was made clear to us, the Company, that this is not a merits hearing, so that being just a hearing to receive information. You're leaving the record open, these have been marked for identification, and they are what they are.

```
1
                   But, in sum, I would agree with OCA.
 2.
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
 3
         Ms. Bresson, are you able to hear us?
 4
                   MS. BRESSON: Yes, I am.
 5
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Did you hear what I
 6
         said before about the exhibits? Ms. Speck had
 7
         submitted several exhibits that were not actually
         directly covered today.
                   MS. BRESSON: Yes, I did. And I'm fine
 9
10
         with the position that the OCA has communicated.
11
         I'm okay with that.
12
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Mr.
1.3
         Phillips?
14
                   MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. We concur with the
15
         OCA and DOE.
16
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Excellent.
17
         We have agreement.
18
                   All right then. We will move on to
19
         closings. And we're going to start with Mr.
20
         Kreis.
21
                   But, before I do that, I just wanted to
2.2
         note that Ms. Burgess has joined us. And she is
23
         one of the intervenors. Ms. Burgess, did you
24
         plan to give a closing today or to be heard?
```

```
1
                                  No. And I actually have
                   MS. BURGESS:
 2.
         been on since the beginning.
 3
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN:
                                        Okav.
 4
                   MS. BURGESS: But, no, I did not plan
 5
         to speak today.
 6
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And same
 7
         question for Ms. Bresson, do you plan to speak?
 8
                   MS. BRESSON: No. I have no statement.
         Thank you.
 9
10
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. And Mr.
11
         Phillips?
                   MR. PHILLIPS: No. All I wanted to say
12
1.3
         is, you know, thank you to Commissioner Goldner
         for some thoughtful questions. But, yes, I think
14
         I can wait till the end.
15
16
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
17
         So, Mr. Kreis, do you have anything to say?
18
                   MR. KREIS: Only that I would like to
19
         reiterate the objections that I made at the
20
         beginning of this hearing and previously in
2.1
         writing. I don't know what I could possibly say
2.2
         in closing, because I have no idea what
23
         significance what we have just done has.
24
                    I heard Ms. Brown refer to this event
```

as an "informational hearing". I don't know what an "informational hearing" is.

But, at this point, all I can do, on behalf of the Office of the Consumer Advocate, is reserve all of our rights to object to or talk about evidence, cross-examine witnesses, and raise whatever issues we deem it necessary to raise at the merits hearing in this docket, and make whatever closing statements at that point I think are necessary.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. And your positions have been noted. And I just want to clarify, because I did clarify at the outset, Ms. Brown, that this is an evidentiary hearing.

And you can go ahead with your closing.

MS. BROWN: Did Department of Energy already do its closing or is it going last?

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Oh, I apologize.

Mr. Tuomala.

1.3

2.1

2.2

MR. TUOMALA: Thank you, Madam Chairwoman.

As I initially stated on the record earlier, the Department does not take a position on the OCA's argument at this time. And also

1 noting the October 25th filing by the Department 2. of Energy, we don't take a position on the 3 Company's October 8th filing in this proceeding. 4 However, we do want to reiterate that 5 we reserve all rights to investigate rate base 6 throughout the -- the investigation that's 7 conducted in this rate proceeding, with the merits hearing scheduled for next April. 8 9 Thank you. 10 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. 11 Now, Ms. Brown. Tuomala. 12 MS. BROWN: Thank you. 1.3 The Company, you know, thanks the

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

The Company, you know, thanks the

Commissioners for their questions on Exhibit 20.

We don't have anything pending that we are

requesting, other than the rate petition and

approval of the rates, but that, you know, it's

premature to, you know, make that request here.

We'll wait and address that at the later hearing.

And with respect to reservation of rights, the Company does reserve its rights, as the other parties do, or have, with respect to what evidence we ultimately agree to, and positions we ultimately take, with respect to the

```
1
         rate case.
                    And thank you for your time today.
 2
 3
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner
 4
         Goldner, any questions?
 5
                    COMMISSIONER GOLDNER:
                                            I do not.
 6
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you to
 7
         the Company for putting on an excellent
 8
         presentation and answering all of Commissioner
 9
         Goldner's thorough questions.
10
                    And, as we discussed a few minutes ago,
11
         we will leave the record open. And we are
12
         adjourned for today.
1.3
                    (Whereupon the hearing was adjourned
14
                    at 3:27 p.m.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```